

Determining Allocations

Consolidated Plan Priorities

In the PY2003-2007 Consolidated Plan, CEDD developed priorities for funding based upon the analysis of data and community participation in development of the plan. Needs throughout the county were extensive and, as a result, a number of areas were designated "high-priority." CEDD continues to place a priority on projects utilizing additional public and/or private resources.

In total, CEDD proposes to assist 50 qualifying projects for \$11,586,511. Planning and administration costs for Harris County have been allocated \$2,837,473.

Proposal Review and Project Selection

Overview

Selection of the community development, homeless and housing projects for funding is one of the most crucial activities CEDD performs. Each year, the CDBG, HOME, and ESG entitlement funds are distributed through a competitive Request for Proposals (RFP) process to local public and private organizations that serve low-income residents from unincorporated Harris County and fifteen cooperative cities within the county.

All proposals were reviewed and evaluated by the Project Eligibility Review Team (PERT) and Proposal Review Teams (PRT). The PERT members reviewed the projects for initial eligibility and the PRT evaluated and scored the entire proposals. The PERT was comprised of professional, managerial and executive staff from the Planning & Development Division. The PRT was comprised of professional and managerial staff from the department's Planning and Development, Grants Management, and Finance divisions.

PY2005/PY2006 RFP Process

Overview

In September 2004, the department initiated the allocation of funds through its RFP process. This activity serves as an organized method to evaluate and select projects that will deliver services in Harris County's HUD service area.

Planning Activities

During the early days of planning, staff developed a timeline to target dates for the completion of critical activities. Staff utilized ideas, suggestions and comments from prior years' RFP processes to make recommended changes to the PY2005/PY2006 Application and Guidebook.

In addition, Development staff sought input from Grants Management and Finance staff to ensure a streamlined process from the award to the contract development phase.

Issuance of RFP.

On October 1, 2004, the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) was mailed to over 768 individuals and organizations on the RFP mailing list and posted on CEDD's website. Organizations receiving the NOFA were asked to respond to CEDD to request the PY2005/06 RFP. In addition to the notification by mail, a public notice was placed in the Houston Chronicle on October 15, 22, and 29, 2004 to announce the availability of funds. Organizations not on the RFP mailing list were asked to call the CEDD office to request an application. Upon receipt of these requests, staff mailed an electronic version of the RFP on CD-ROM. As previously stated, the CD included the application kits for all Harris County HUD entitlement grant programs, including CDBG (non-public service), CDBG (public service), HOME and ESG. Also, for the public's convenience, the RFP was placed on the County Purchasing Agent's website, which could also be accessed through CEDD's website.

Applicants Conferences.

CEDD hosted five Applicants Conferences on November 9-11 2004, for organizations interested in applying for HUD entitlement funds through Harris County. These conferences were held in the Auditorium at CEDD's office, 8410 Lantern Point. The conferences were separated into program areas: CDBG non-public services, CDBG public services (two conferences), HOME and ESG.

During these conferences, CEDD staff presented information on program guidelines and instructions on completing the applications. Staff from Development, Planning, Grants Management and Finance were available to provide instruction on the completion and submission of the application and answer questions about grant program guidelines, including eligible and ineligible program activities.

Submission of Proposals.

On Tuesday, December 20, 2004, 106 proposals were submitted to the Harris County Purchasing Office at 1001 Preston Avenue by the 2:00 P.M. deadline. Proposals received after the deadline were not accepted, there were a total of ninety-one for PY2006. Upon receipt, each was assigned a file number and logged into the PY2005 RFP database. Upon completion of data entry, applications were assigned and distributed to the PERT members for an initial eligibility review.

Proposal Evaluation Process

Overview

The proposal evaluation process included the assistance of professional, managerial and executive staff from Development and Finance. The review process was divided into two phases, the initial threshold review (Phase I) and the proposal review (Phase II).

The purpose of Phase I is to determine initial eligibility based on HUD regulations for the CDBG, HOME and ESG programs and adherence to RFP requirements. Phase II provides a forum for staff with expertise in different areas to provide an objective review of all proposals and discuss their findings in a cooperative setting. It is through these two phases of review that staff is best suited to make funding recommendations.

Evaluation Activities

The PY2005 and PY2006 RFP processes were combined due to a change in CEDD's program year. All proposals (with the exception of PY2006-only applications) were evaluated during the PY2005 RFP process. In August 2005, Development staff reviewed the PY2006-only projects and a review of all PY2006 budgets was conducted by the Finance department.

During Phase I of the review process, Development staff determined eligibility of the 15 PY2006-only projects based on the CDBG/HOME/ESG Threshold Evaluation Worksheets. None were found to be ineligible; however, during the process two proposals became ineligible due to changes in the scope of the project.

Phase II was conducted by Development and Finance staff. Development staff reviewed PY2006-only projects for programmatic feasibility, while Finance staff reviewed the PY2006 budgets. This phase of the review process concluded with the roundtable discussion of the review findings and recommendations from the Finance and Grants Management departments. Executive, management and professional staff members participated in this meeting.

Evaluation Factors

While evaluating the proposals for initial eligibility, the PERT used the following established threshold factors and criteria. These criteria were included in the RFP and incorporated into the evaluation worksheets.

- Does the project meet at least one National Objective or applicable program objective?
- Does this project address a Measurable Objective outlined in the PY2003-2007 Harris County Consolidated Plan?

- Is this project located within the Harris County service area and/or serve Harris County service area residents?
- Does this project require matching funds, and, if so, are the funds eligible and secured?
- Does the organization have prior history with Harris County entitlement funds? Are there any outstanding monitoring findings?
- Did the proposed project fall within the category of explicitly ineligible activities?

The PERT evaluated each proposal based upon these criteria, made recommendations accordingly and justified these recommendations in the roundtable discussions.

Findings

While evaluating the proposals, the PRT used established evaluation criteria. These criteria were included in the RFP and incorporated into the evaluation worksheets. Each question on the objective review worksheets were assigned a point value. Scores were based on the following criteria:

- Priorities of the Consolidated Plan
- Completeness of proposal
- Diversity of funding base
- Availability of working capital
- Need and community impact
- Measurable goals and objectives
- Program administration and operational expenses
- Organizational capacity
- Financial capacity
- Duplication of services
- Geographic distribution of projects
- Past and current performance

If applicable:

- Construction - work descriptions, plans, schedules and cost estimates
- Relocation policy
- Marketing plans
- Other program required information (i.e.: Davis-Bacon policy, Affirmative Marketing Plan, participation of a homeless or previously homeless person in policy and decision making, and Minority Outreach Plan.)

The PERT evaluated and scored each proposal based upon these criteria, made recommendations accordingly and justified these recommendations in the roundtable discussions.

Selection of Projects

Following the completion of Phase II in September, the Planning and Development staff developed the *Allocation Manager* and *Proposal Review Team Report* for presentation to the Director for review and approval. Upon his final recommendations, the proposed projects were assembled into the PY2006 Annual Action Plan. The development of the Annual Action Plan was managed by CEDD Development staff and includes all proposed projects.

The public review of the PY2006 AAP was held from October 21- November 22, 2005, with public hearings taking place on Monday, November 7th and Tuesday, November 22nd. A public notice was placed in the Houston Chronicle, including a list of recommended projects and proposed expenditures. The general public was notified of the availability of the draft document for review at the CEDD office.

The Harris County PY2006 Annual Action Plan was presented to the Harris County Commissioners Court for approval on Tuesday, November 22, 2005.

Contract Development Activities

Once the recommended projects are submitted in the Annual Action Plan, conditionally awarded applicants begin the contract negotiation process. At that time revised budgets and statements of work are submitted and processed for contract drafting by the Grants Management section.

PY2006 RFP Process Evaluation

Following the submission of the PY2006 AAP, Development staff will conduct the following activities to properly evaluate the CEDD RFP process and plan for the PY2006 process. Tentative evaluation tools include:

(1) Staff Evaluation - An RFP Feedback committee will be assembled to analyze the PY2005/PY2006 RFP process. This committee will be comprised of professional staff from Administration, Development, Planning, Grants Management, and Finance sections. The goal of the committee is to identify positive and negative aspects of the previous process and recommend suggestions for improvement.

(2) Applicant Evaluation - Development staff will survey applicants to gain their perspective on the PY2005/PY2006 RFP process. The survey will gather information on the complexity of the application(s), the time provided to complete the application(s), and the technical assistance provided throughout the RFP process.

(3) Technical Assistance Workshops - Staff will provide five workshops prior to issuing the PY2007 RFP, including CDBG General Activities, CDBG Public Service, HOME, ESG and Grant Writing. These workshops will educate prior or potential applicants on program areas and regulatory requirements. The Grant Writing workshop will provide practical tips on grant writing, which will help applicants in applying for HUD funds as well as any others that will benefit their agency.

These activities will facilitate improvements to the annual RFP process and make it more efficient and accessible for the applicants.